

REMARKS

Summary of the Election of Species Requirement

In page 2 of the Office Action, the Examiner required applicant to elect one of the following species:

Species 1: Figure 5.

Species 2: Figure 6.

Species 3: Figure 9.

Species 4: Figure 10.

Species 5: Figure 11.

Species 6: Figure 13.

Species 7: Figure 14.

Species 8: Figure 18.

Species 9: Figure 19.

Species 10: Figure 22.

Species 11: Figure 24.

Species 12: Figure 33.

Species 13: Figure 46.

Species 14: Figure 53.

Species 15: Figure 59.

Species 16: Figure 66.

Species 17: Figure 72.

Species 18: Figure 73.

Species 19: Figure 76.

Species 20: Figure 81.

Species 21: Figure 86.


Applicant's Election

Applicant provisionally elects, with traverse, Species 12 (i.e., FIG. 33) for initial substantive prosecution in this application. Claims 1, 2, and 4-7 are believed to read on the elected species. The Examiner has said that at least claim 1 is generic.

Applicant understands that if a generic claim are allowed, a reasonable number of species to which such claims are generic will be rejoined to the application.

An early and favorable action is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,



Michael J. Chasan
Registration No. 54,026
Agent for Applicants
Customer No. 1473

FISH & NEAVE IP GROUP
ROPES & GRAY LLP
1211 Avenue of the Americas
New York, NY 10036
(212) 596-9000